The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte STEPHEN J. RUSSELL, ADELE FIELDING, Kah-Whye PENG, and DEANNA GROTE

> Appeal No. 2005-0909 Application 09/668,196

**MAILED** 

MAY 1 1 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before HARKCOM, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge, and WILLIAM F. SMITH and NASE, Administrative Patent Judges.

## Per curiam.

On April 27, 2005, counsel for the appellants filed, among other documents, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114. Pursuant to the notice entitled "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice," 65 Fed. Reg. 50092, 50095 (Aug. 16, 2000), and the provisions of 37 CFR § 1.114(d), a request for continued examination under 37 CFR § 1.114 filed after appeal has been taken, but prior to a decision on the appeal, "will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner."

Accordingly, the appeal in this application is dismissed.

Appeal No. 2005-0909 Application 09/668,196

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Gary V. Harkcom, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge

William F. Smith

Administrative Patent Judge

**BOARD OF PATENT** 

**APPEALS AND** 

**INTERFERENCES** 

Leffrey V. Nase

Administrative Patent Judge

Fish & Richardson 60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3300 Minneapolis, MN 55402

dem